



Evaluation Plan and Results



Table of Contents

1	Scope	4
2	Evaluation Plan.....	4
2.1	Target	4
2.1.1	Background	4
2.1.2	Work Products.....	4
2.1.3	Evaluation Term	4
2.1.4	Evaluator.....	5
2.2	Evaluation Item	5
3	Evaluation Result	6
3.1	Work Products.....	6
3.2	Sample of Work Products.....	8
3.2.1	D-Case	8
3.2.2	Use case diagram	9
3.2.3	Requirement diagram.....	10
3.2.4	Block definition diagram (design)	11
3.2.5	Parametric diagram.....	12
3.2.6	Internal block diagram	13
3.2.7	Block definition diagram (Implementation)	14
3.2.8	State machine diagram.....	15
3.2.9	Verification scenario	16
3.3	Result.....	16
3.4	Comparison	17
4	Consideration	19



Revision History

Revised Date	Description
2014/01/27	Created



1 Scope

This document describes the effectiveness of D-Case and SysML collaboration.

2 Evaluation Plan

2.1 Target

2.1.1 Background

Previous model of the cruise control (CC) system was developed half a year ago. Derivational development is applied to new CC model of cruise control system based on specifications of previous CC model. New CC model has differences to adding functions of ISO26262 functional safety. The development target of this evaluation is set on new CC model of cruise control system.

2.1.2 Work Products

Work products below are prepared by the development of new model.

- D-Case
- Use case diagram
- Requirement diagram
- Block definition diagram
- Parametric diagram
- Internal block diagram
- State machine diagram
- Verification scenario

2.1.3 Evaluation Term

Development term is structured by items in Table 1. Evaluation is conducted in point of man-hour for each item.

Table 1 Evaluation Term

ID	Term	Description
1	Item Definition	Define item using D-Case and SysML models for previous model.
2	Identification of Hazard	Hazard analysis using HAZOP, FTA, FMEA.
3	Decomposition Based on Functional Safety Requirements	Decompose D-Case goal based on functional safety requirements.



4	Update Use case and Requirement Diagram	Update use case and requirement diagram.
5	Decomposition Based on Technical Safety Requirements	Decompose D-Case goal based on technical safety requirements.
6	Update Block Definition and Parametric Diagram	Update block definition, parametric, internal block, and state machine diagram. Associate model elements to D-Case.
7	Guaranty by Verification Results	Associate verification scenario to D-Case.
8	Model Simulation	Execute verification scenario.

2.1.4 Evaluator

A development engineer is the same person who developed previous model. Other one person is a reviewer.

2.2 Evaluation Item

Compare man-hour to develop D-Case and SysML models for new CC model.¹

Case 1: No modeling guide and no template are applied

Case 2: All modeling guides and templates are applied

- D-Case Modeling Guide for Target System
- SysML Modeling Guide for Target System
- D-Case Template
- SysML Template

¹ Note that the target for comparison here is not “with D-Case versus without D-Case”, but “with modeling guides and templates versus without them” in this evaluation.



3 Evaluation Result

3.1 Work Products

Work Products on new CC Model development are listed up in Table 2, Table 3, and Table 4.

Table 2 Work Products

Model	No. of pcs
D-Case	1
Use case diagram	1
Requirement diagram	1
Block definition diagram	2
Parametric diagram	2
Internal block diagram	1
State machine diagram	13
Verification scenario	1

Table 3 D-Case Node

Node	No. of pcs
Goal	24
Strategy	10
Context	74
Evidence	14
SolvedBy	47
InContextOf	74

Table 4 Elements of SysML Model

Model	Elements	No. of pcs
Use case diagram	Actor	3
	Use case	11
	Association	21
	Subject	1
	Association to D-Case	8
Requirement diagram	Functional Requirement	13
	Non-functional Requirement	8



	Association	34
	Association to D-Case	12
Block definition diagram (design)	Block	16
	Association	34
	Association to D-Case	12
Parametric diagram	Block	12
	Connector	25
	Association to D-Case	4
Internal block diagram	Block	3
	Connector	4
Block definition diagram (Implementation)	Block	16
	Association	32
State machine diagram	State	100
	Transition	113
Verification scenario	Scenario	1
	Verification	1
	Association to D-Case	12



3.2 Sample of Work Products

This section describes samples of work products developed in new CC model.

3.2.1 D-Case




Figure 3-1 D-Case (Original D-Case is in Japanese)



3.2.2 Use case diagram





Figure 3-2 Use case diagram (Original diagram is in Japanese)



3.2.3 Requirement diagram




Figure 3-3 Requirement diagram (Original diagram is in Japanese)



3.2.4 Block definition diagram (design)




Figure 3-4 Block definition diagram (Original diagram is in Japanese)



3.2.5 Parametric diagram





Figure 3-5 Parametric diagram (Original diagram is in Japanese)



3.2.6 Internal block diagram





Figure 3-6 Internal block diagram (Original diagram is in Japanese)



3.2.7 Block definition diagram (Implementation)




Figure 3-7 Block definition diagram (Implementation)



3.2.8 State machine diagram




Figure 3-8 State machine diagram



3.2.9 Verification scenario




Figure 3-9 Verification scenario

3.3 Result

Table 5 and Table 6 show measurement results of man-hour to develop.

Case 1: No modeling guide and no template are applied

Case 2: All modeling guides and templates are applied

Table 5 Case 1: No modeling guide and no template are applied

ID	Term	man -hour (h)	Review (No. of times)
1	Item Definition	4.5	2
2	Identification of Hazard	30	6
3	Decomposition Based on Functional Safety Requirements	20	3
4	Update Use case and Requirements Diagram	11.5	5
5	Decomposition Based on Technical Safety Requirements	3	2
6	Update Block Definition and Parametric Diagram	12	3
7	Guaranty by Verification Results	1	1
8	Model Simulation	1	1



Total	83	23
-------	----	----

Table 6 Case 2: All modeling guides and templates are applied

ID	Term	man -hour (h)	Review (No. of times)
1	Item Definition	4.5	2
2	Identification of Hazard	30	6
3	Decomposition Based on Functional Safety Requirements	6	3
4	Update Use case and Requirements Diagram	6.5	2
5	Decomposition Based on Technical Safety Requirements	1	1
6	Update Block Definition and Parametric Diagram	7.5	2
7	Guaranty by Verification Results	1	1
8	Model Simulation	1	1
	Total	57.5	18

3.4 Comparison

Figure 3-10 shows the comparison of man-hour in case 1 and in case 2.

Figure 3-11 shows the comparison of number of review in case 1 and in case 2.



Case 1: No modeling guide and no template are applied

Case 2: All modeling guides and templates are applied




Figure 3-10 Man-hour




Figure 3-11 Number of reviews



According to Figure 3-10 and Figure 3-11, applying modeling guides and templates reduce 31% of man-hour and 24% of number of reviews.

For decomposition based on functional safety requirements, update use case and requirements diagram, decomposition based on technical safety requirements, and update block definition and parametric diagram, Applying template is effective.

Item definition and identification of hazards are not depends on templates.

4 Consideration

As the description in the previous chapter, Applying modeling guides and templates reduce 31% of man-hour and 24% of number of review.

factors of these effectiveness are below.

- D-Case template prepares goal structure, and it reduced the number of rewriting strategy and goal node in D-Case.
- Association with D-Case and SysML requirement diagram about functional safety requirements reduced man-hour to requirements definition.
- Association with D-Case and SysML block definition diagram reduced man-hour to architecture design.
- Association with D-Case and SysML parametric diagram about restriction reduced man-hour to extract restriction.

These results show that modeling guides and templates are effective on the system development.